G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1897. ~~191~~. (G.F.)

File No. 18 of 97

Serial Nos. 1~6

Later references.

P IHE

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1~6

Part B for 1897.

SUBJECT.

Re-fund of half assessment on certain wet lands in Anekal taluk.

Correspondence 1-14

Indexed.

KG

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

RECEIVED 189 98 2529
R 419

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt,
of Mysore,
Genl & Rev Departments,
Bangalore.

Section C.F
File No 18 of 1897
Serial No 1

Dated 5th October 1897
Despatched 7th Do
Received
General No.
D No. 440½

Enclosure No. 1 a file.

Submits for sanction refund bill for Rs 41-10-4. being half assessment on certain wet-lands for the year 1891-92 which was collected in the Anekal Taluk and as the Ryots held no Khates in their names these Sums were not deducted from the subsequent year demand.

Rec
8.10.97
C S. Asst
Jr

As printed Ref. to the Govt Pleader for opinion
Rec 11/10 Rec 12/10 Sent 18/10 Rec 19/10/97 HB

336

D No. 440½

Sa 1 Bafle

To

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
Dated 5th October 1891.
7D

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depart,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that a sum of Rs. 41.10.4, being half assessment on certain wet lands for the year 1891.92, was collected in the Anekal Taluk and as the ryots held no Khatas in their names, these sums were not deducted from the subsequent years' demand.

2. As laid down in para 4 of the Government Proceedings No 298 dated 2nd April 1892 the refund of the amount referred to is demanded by them.

3 A refund bill is therefore submitted herewith for sanction with vernacular correspondence.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

for Deputy Commissioner.

Draft for approval

To

The Dy: Comm.r of
Bangalore dt.

No 3826 / 41:368 dt 28.10.97.

Sir I have the honor to forward herewith a ~~Consolidated~~ Return bill in favor of certain Ryots in the Anekal Taluk for Rs 41.10.4 being half assessment on certain wet lands ~~which were~~ collected from them for the year 1891-92.

— cc —

In returning the above bill with other enclosures, I have the honor to request the Dy: Commr will resubmit ~~it~~ the same with ~~Cheques~~ subsidiary bills as laid down in Govt Pro No 9402-434 / 7C841 of 25th April 1897

19/10/97

21/10/97

28/10/97

4191
F. 721

Gen. 31
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

1897-98.

Section 97
File No 18 of 97 To
Serial No 3

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
Genl & Rev Departments.

Dated 7th December 1897.
Despatched 8th
Received

General No.
D No. 772

Enclosure No. 21 & Ver: Papers.

Secretary's docket No. 3826
Fl. 368
of the 28th October 1897.

Submits 20 subsidiary bills for refunds to certain ryots in the Anekal Taluk, together with the consolidated bill amounting to Rs 41-10-4.

Rec'd 9/12/97 Revenue Records Sulekere 10/12 Cert. of Inst. 17/12/97
Rec'd 15/12 " " 20/12 " " 27/12 Subordinate

Bangalore District
Dy Commissioners Office.

D. No 772. — Bangalore 7th December 1897.

Encs 21 + Ver: Papers.

To,

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
Genl & Rev: Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 3826 / 7f. 368 dated the 28th October 1897, asking for the submission of subsidiary bills for refunds to certain ryots in the Anekal Taluk, I have the honor to submit the same herewith, together with the consolidated refund bill.

* Enclosures returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant.

Dy Commissioner.

wpk.

Draft for reply &c

78

To,

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Dated. 10/1/98.

A 6127.
H. R. 601

His No. 7772 dated 7th December 97,
submitting a bill for Rs 41-10-4
being the moiety of the chillpep-
ment on lands laid waste
in certain villages of the Anekal Taluk, owing
to the failure of water supply
to tanks to be refunded to
certain individuals under the
authority of Government in
Proceedings No. D. 298 dated
2nd April 1892.

I am directed to observe
that the Taluk Sheristadar involved
in the several refund bills
submitted amounts to Rs 41-10-4

~~While~~ the amounts included in the consolidated Canarese refund bill prepared in the Taluk aggregate Rs 12-10-8. The cause of the difference which has not been accounted for requires explanation, ~~for it is presumed to be due to the exclusion~~ of certain trifling sums to be refunded to certain parties. The true cause should be reported.

In respect of the majority of cases included in the Canarese bill, it is not clear how the figures entered under Col "Excess recovery to be refunded" have been arrived at. For instance, the amount entered under the said Col against Venkatarayappa of Kumaradival in the Gazalhobli is Rs 1-1-8, while the total assessment and local cess amounting to Rs 26-4-9 on the lands held by him are shown ~~as having been~~ to have been collected. The correct amount

to

to be refunded in this case appears ~~therefore~~ to be Rs 13-2-4 and not Rs 1-1-8.

The cause of the difference cannot be made out in this Office. The Deputy Commissioner is therefore requested to explain this and other similar discrepancies observable in the said bill. The Enclosures are returned.

"Pay Order"
4-1-98
Secretary

1/1/98

25/12/97

29/12/97

Draft ordd.



From
The Dy. Commissioner, of the
Bangalore District.



To The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore
Genl. & Rev. Departments.

Dated 2nd
Despatched 3rd } December 1898
Received

General No.
D No. 580

Enclosure No. 21 bills & 1 file

Secy's docket No. 6127
F. 601
of the 10th January 1898.

Submits certain explanation regarding the refund of Rs 41-10-4, being the moiety of wet assessment on certain lands in the Anekal Taluk

for W. H. Nicholl
5/12/98

Respondu
9/12

9/12/98

D. No. 580

Suit 21 bills & 1 file

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 2nd Dec. 1898.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
Genl. & Rev. Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 6127 / 7L 601 dated the 10th January 1898, calling for certain explanation regarding the refund of Rs 41-10-4, being the moiety of wet assessment on certain lands of the Anekal Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2- In explanation of the difference in the amounts of the bills sent from this Office and the Canarese refund bill prepared in the Taluk, I have to state that certain petty sums noted in the margin* were originally included in the Taluk bill. These were

* Chuckanagagal Gundappa - Rs - 6.
Siggalur Venkatanarayana - " - 3 - 9.
Rajanagalli Ramaya - " - 4 - 10.
Sankala Subbaya - " - 3.
Jannamalur Annegowda - " - 1 - 6.
Jannalur Chikkanna - " - 1 - 6.
Baldur Channegowda and Ranganna - " - 4 - 6.
1 - " - 4

were ordered to be omitted in this Office order No. 505 dated 22nd January 1897 and the revised bill received from the Taluk is included in the vernacular Record. The bill sent from this office tallies with the revised Taluk bill

3. Regarding the discrepancy noted in para 2 of the Government Proceedings under reply it may be observed that the refund in each case is the difference between the amount actually recovered from the party and the moiety of the assessment properly due. Thus, in the case of Venkatagerappah of Koonamadival, referred to in the Government Proceedings under reference, the total assessment and local cess payable by him was Rs. 26-4-9, as per Government Proceedings' No. D. 2-98 of the 2nd April 1892 the party

party was entitled to a refund of half that amount viz; Rs. As. P. 13-2-4. The amount actually recovered from him was Rs 14-4-0. The difference viz Rs. As. P. 1-1-8 was therefore entered in the refund bill. The same explanation holds good in the other cases also

4 The vernacular file together with extract of Khatè and the refund bills are resubmitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

K. Krishnamurthy

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Mrs

Draft for approval

Read

Correspondence ending with letter no 580 of 2.12.98, from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District, re the refund of ~~Rs~~ 41-10-4 to certain ryots of the Anekal Taluk, being the amounts collected from them in excess of the moity of assessment on wet lands, due from them, as per orders of Government no: 1298 of 2nd April 92.

Order thereon:—

The refund bill is sanctioned for ~~Rs~~ 41-10-4 and forwarded to

Serial No 6

No 1503-4 dt 7/8/98

Rs 165 — 7

to the Comptroller for
audit

14-9-99

V.C.
11/9/99 Secretary

To

The Deputy Commrs.,
Bangalore District
returning the V. Papers
The Comptroller
Mysore (out with
the sanctioned bill &
the subsidiary bills
relating thereto

[illegible]

11/9/99